PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:			PCT				
see form PCT/ISA/220			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)				
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
International application No. International filing date			day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 31.03.2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC F16C11/06, F16C27/06 Applicant							
Mir	NEBEA CO. LTD.						
2.	Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66:1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103 D-10958 Berlin Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840

Authorized Officer

Axelsson, T

Telephone No. +49 30 25901-522



JC05 Rec'd PCT/PTO 1 6 SEP 2005

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001298

10/549658

_	Box I	No. I	Basis of the opinion		
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	la	angua	sinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following ge , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acld sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. type of material:				
		a se	equence listing		
		table	e(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b. format of material:				
		in w	ritten format		
		in co	omputer readable form		
	c. time	e of fili	ng/furnishing:		
		cont	ained in the international application as filed.		
		filed	together with the international application in computer readable form.		
		furni	shed subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3.	h	as bec opies i	ion, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating theretoen filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional s identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as iate, were furnished.		
4.	Additional comments:				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001298

	Box No. II	Priority					
1.	☑ The fo	llowing document has not been furnished:					
	⊠	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).					
		translation of the ear	lier app	lication who	se priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).		
		Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.					
2.	has be	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.					
3.	Additional of	Additional observations, if necessary:					
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, Inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1.	Statement						
	Novelty (N)	1	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	2,3 1,4,5,6,7,8		
	Inventive st	tep (IS)		Claims			
			No:	Claims	1-8		
	Industrial a	pplicability (IA)		Claims	1-8		
			No:	Claims			

Re Item V.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: US 5 364 191 A (GRUBER WALTER) 15 November 1994 (1994-11-15)

D2: US 5 033 722 A (LAMMERS BRYAN G) 23 July 1991 (1991-07-23)

D3: US 3 125 364 A (R.W.SPRINGER) 17 March 1964 (1964-03-17)

D4: GB 1 360 515 A (SAITAMAKIKI CO LTD) 17 July 1974 (1974-07-17)

- 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1, 8
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

 Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

a bearing arrangement (fig.1) comprising a spherical bearing having a bearing housing and a ball (2) located therein, the bearing housing having a rigid outer race (1.2) and a rigid inner race (4) and an annular elastomeric portion (3) sandwiched between the races (1.2, 4), wherein the outer race (1.2) of the bearing housing is securely held in a interference fit hole (1.1 - column 3, lines 49-51: "pressed axially onto case part").

Document D2-D4 also disclose the subject-matter of present claim 1.

- 2.2 Claim 8 is not allowed, since it contains no technical features. Moreover, reference made to the figures are not allowed in the claims (Rule 6.2(a) PCT).
- 3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 4-7
 - Dependent claims 4-7 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).
- 3.1 The invention of claims 2 and 3 consists merely in a new use (high torque bearing) of the bearing arrangement which is already known from document D1. This use, however, does not involve more than employment of properties of the bearing arrangement which are also already known from document D1. Hence, no inventive step appear to be present in the subject-matter of claims 2 and 3.

- 3.2 Document D1 also discloses the subject-matter of dependent claim 4 (column 3, lines 32 and 54) and dependent claims 5 and 6 (column 3, lines 24-26). Hence, this subject-matter is not new.
- 3.3 Document D3, which discloses the subject-matter of claim 1, also discloses the subject-matter of claim 7, see fig. 2. Hence, this subject-matter is not new.